

Support vector machines

To separate 2 sets of points (cat pictures vs dog pictures, or spam vs not spam emails, ...)

We aim to find a function

$$\underline{f(x)}: \begin{cases} f(x_i) > 0 & \text{for } x_i \in \text{Class 1} \\ f(x_i) < 0 & \text{for } x_i \in \text{Class 2} \end{cases} \quad i = 1, \dots, N \leftarrow \text{sample size}$$

Zero-level of f : $\{x \mid f(x) = 0\}$ separates, or discriminates 2 classes

Linear separation function $f(x)$:

$$f(x) = a^T x - b$$

We seek a hyperplane to separate 2 classes

$$\textcircled{*} \begin{cases} a^T x_i - b > 0 & x_i \in \text{Class 1} \\ a^T x_i - b < 0 & x_i \in \text{Class 2} \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} a^T x_i - b \geq 1 & x_i \in \text{Class 1} \\ a^T x_i - b \leq -1 & x_i \in \text{Class 2} \end{cases}$$

(since we can simultaneously rescale a and b)

Convex feasibility problem

$$\textcircled{*} \begin{cases} \min 0 \\ a \in \mathbb{R}^n, b \in \mathbb{R} \\ \tilde{X}^T a - b < 0 \end{cases}, \text{ where}$$

$$\tilde{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \underbrace{\tilde{x}_1 \quad \tilde{x}_2 \quad \dots \quad \tilde{x}_{N_1}}_{N_1} & \underbrace{\tilde{x}_1 \quad \dots \quad \tilde{x}_{N_2}}_{N_2} \\ +1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}_n^1, \quad a_b = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \\ b \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{restating } \textcircled{*})$$

When is it feasible?

Geometrically, when 'convex hulls $\boxed{\text{conv} 1 \cap \text{conv} 2 = \emptyset}$

$\text{conv} 1 = \text{conv} \{x_i \mid x_i \in \text{Class 1}\}$ do not intersect

$\text{conv} 2 = \text{conv} \{x_i \mid x_i \in \text{Class 2}\}$

Why? This follows from the strong alternatives to linear inequalities (recall Farkas lemma \rightarrow LP duality)

$$\textcircled{\text{Thm}} \text{ Let } A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m \\ \exists x \in \mathbb{R}^n: \{Ax < b\} \quad \text{or} \quad \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m: \{\lambda \neq 0, \lambda \geq 0, A^T \lambda = 0, \lambda^T b \leq 0\}$$

strictly

(Boyd & Vanderberg, §2.5; follows from a version of separability of convex sets theorem)

Corollary (*) is infeasible \Leftrightarrow

$$\left\{ \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N, \lambda \neq 0, \lambda \geq 0, \tilde{X}\lambda = 0, 0 \leq 0 \right\}$$

To restate, let $d = \begin{array}{c|c} \bar{X} & \tilde{X} \\ \hline -N_1- & -N_2- \end{array}$

$$d_s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \dots 1 \\ \hline -s- \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^s$$

$$\text{Then } \sum_{i=1}^N d_i \tilde{X}_i = 0 \iff \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \bar{d}_i \cdot \tilde{X}_{ki} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_2} \tilde{d}_i \cdot \tilde{X}_{ki} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \bar{d}_i = \sum_{i=1}^{N_2} \tilde{d}_i \end{array} \right\}$$

We could rescale all d_i by the same number, e.g. making $\sum \bar{d}_i = \sum \tilde{d}_i = 1$

This implies the convex hull condition.

What if the problem is not feasible?

One could minimize the number of misclassified points instead

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \min \|\eta\|_0 \\ a, b, \eta \\ y_i (a^T x_i - b) \geq 1 - \eta_i \\ \eta_i \geq 0 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\text{where } \eta \in \mathbb{R}^N \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \eta_i = 0 \text{ if a point is correctly classified} \\ \eta_i \in (0, 1) \text{ if a point is still correctly classified, but "uncertain"} \\ \eta_i > 1 \text{ if a point is misclassified} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\text{and } \begin{array}{l} y_i = +1 \text{ if } x_i \in \text{Class 1} \\ y_i = -1 \text{ if } x_i \in \text{Class 2} \end{array}$$

Non-convex since $\|\cdot\|_0$ is non-convex

$\|\cdot\|_0 \rightarrow \|\cdot\|_1$ relaxation (convex envelope)

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \min \|\eta\|_1 \\ a, b, \eta \\ y_i (a_i^T x_i - b) \geq 1 - \eta_i \\ \eta_i \geq 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Feasible case: seeking the most robust solution from many

To pick one of them, one could specify the optimization task:

(1)
$$\begin{cases} \max t \\ a, b, t \end{cases}$$
 "Max margin classifier"

$y_i (a^T x_i - b) \geq t$

← we maximize the "gap" between the data and the separating hyperplane

$\|a\| \leq 1$

← normalization is required, otherwise $a \rightarrow \infty$ increases $t \rightarrow \infty$

1. Interpretation

This promotes robustness:

if a point's location is slightly (ϵ) off, it will be classified correctly

t maximizes the distance to $\{a^T x = b\}$

Why? Lemma

$$\text{dist}(v, a^T z = b) = \frac{|a^T v - b|}{\|a\|}$$

$v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a point

Proof of the lemma follows from

Thm (Corollary of the optimality Thm: $\left[\min_{x \in \Omega} f(x) \right]$ ^{convex} x is optimal $\Leftrightarrow \nabla f(x)^T (y - x) \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in \Omega$)

$\left[\begin{matrix} \min f(x) \\ Ax = b \end{matrix} \right]$ f is convex, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. For a feasible point x , x is optimal $\Leftrightarrow \exists \mu: \nabla f(x) = A^T \mu$.

(Pf) Take $x: Ax = b$
 x is optimal $\Leftrightarrow \nabla f(x)^T (y - x) \geq 0 \quad \forall y: Ay = b$

$\Leftrightarrow \forall z \in \text{null}(A) \quad \nabla f(x)^T \cdot z \geq 0$

$\Leftrightarrow \forall z \in \text{null}(A) \quad \nabla f(x)^T \cdot z \leq 0$ (consider $-z$, it is also in nullspace)

$\Leftrightarrow \nabla f(x)$ is orthogonal to $\text{null}(A)$

$\Leftrightarrow \nabla f(x) \in \text{row space of } A$



(Pf) of the Lemma

Consider an optimization problem $\left[\begin{matrix} \min \|v - z\|_2^2 \\ a^T z = b \end{matrix} \right]$

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \min \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2 - 2v_i z_i + z_i^2 \\ a^T z = b \end{array} \right]$$

$$\nabla f = 2z - 2v$$

$$\nabla^T f = a \mu \quad (\text{by Thm above})$$

$$2(z - v) = a \mu$$

$$\|a\|^2 = a^T a$$

$$\mu = \frac{2a^T(z-v)}{\|a\|^2}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|v-z\| &= \frac{\|a\|}{2} |\mu| = \frac{\|a\|}{2} \frac{2|a^T(z-v)|}{\|a\|^2} \\ &= \frac{|b - a^T v|}{\|a\|^2} \end{aligned}$$

2. Properties

Thm (Properties of the max margin classifier)

① Optimal value of (1) is achieved when $\|a\|=1$

② Solution to (1) is unique

③ It is equivalent to the following problem

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \min \|a\| \\ a, b \\ y_i (a^T x_i - b) \geq 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (2)$$

(Proof) ① if (t^*, a^*, b^*) gives optimal solution and $\|a^*\| \in (0, 1)$, then

$$\text{consider } a := \frac{a^*}{\|a^*\|}, \quad b = \frac{b^*}{\|a^*\|}, \quad t = \frac{t^*}{\|a^*\|} > t$$

② Follows from ③: $\min \|a\| \Leftrightarrow \min \|a\|^2$ which is strictly convex, so min is unique in a .

if we have 2 optimal solutions (a, b^*) and (a, b^{**}) , consider $b = \frac{b^* + b^{**}}{2}$

let $b^{**} < b^*$ (without loss of generality), then $b^{**} < b < b^*$

$$y_i (a^T x_i - b^*) \geq 1 \quad \forall y_i = 1 \Rightarrow y_i (a^T x_i - b) > 1 \quad \forall y_i = 1$$

$$y_i (a^T x_i - b^*) \leq 1 \quad \forall y_i = -1 \Rightarrow y_i (a^T x_i - b) < 1 \quad \forall y_i = -1$$

Then we can shrink both a and b to improve on the solution (exercise: check this formally

by defining $\epsilon := \min_i |1 - y_i (a^T x_i - b)|$

③ Equivalence: 2 problems are feasible at the same time

a)

∩ $a = 0$ for any feasible $(0, b, t)$ or $(0, b)$:

$$-y_i b \geq t \quad \forall i.$$

∩ there are indeed 2 classes ($y_i = +1$ and $y_i = -1$)
this leads to a contradiction
unless $t = 0$

So, both problems will have solution zero.

b) If not,

$$(a, b) \rightarrow \left(\frac{a}{\|a\|}, \frac{b}{\|a\|}, \frac{1}{\|a\|} \right)$$

$$\left(\frac{a}{t}, \frac{b}{t} \right) \leftarrow (a, b, t)$$

So, 2 problems are feasible together,

$$t = \frac{1}{\|a\|},$$

So minimize $\|a\|$ is equivalent to maximize t .

Note: problem (2) is easier for the analysis and has less variables
(e.g., uniqueness)

Infeasible case again: putting all together

① Support vector classifier

$$\begin{cases} \min_{a, b, \eta} \|a\| + \sum \eta_i \|y_i\| \\ \text{such that } y_i (a^T x_i - b) \geq 1 - \eta_i \\ \eta_i \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

Minimizing (a) margin width and (b) the number of misclassifications at the same time

γ is a parameter (sets the relative importance of the goals (a) and (b))

margin width: $\frac{2}{\|a\|}$ (easy exercise by using the material above)

Other approaches to handle non-separability:

② Bayesian: define a model based on observations, ^{then} via maximum likelihood
(logistic modeling)

③ Non-linear separating functions (e.g., quadratic)